

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,308	08/22/2005	Alan Williams Andrews	5628-18302	9141
	7590 08/20/2007 S, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 398			WIESE, NOAH S	
AUSTIN, TX 7	USTIN, TX 78767-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/519,308	ANDREWS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Noah S. Wiese	1709				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers	•	i,				
··						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Application/Control Number: 10/519,308

Art Unit: 1709

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1 and 5-10, drawn to a synthetic magnesium silicate composition.

Group II, claims 2, 11, 14, and 17, drawn to a process of producing the synthetic magnesium silicate composition of Group I by means of forming an aqueous suspension of magnesium carbonate, forming a silica precipitate in said suspension, subjecting said mixture to hydrothermal treatment in the presence of the remaining constituents of the magnesium silicate and in the presence of excess dissolved sodium or lithium, and separating and drying the solid product of said suspension.

Group III, claims 3, 12, 15, and 18, drawn to a process of producing the synthetic magnesium silicate composition of Group I by means of forming an aqueous slurry from a water-soluble magnesium salt, sodium silicate, and sodium carbonate or sodium hydroxide, then delivering lithium and fluoride ions to the slurry, hydrothermally treating said slurry, and finally separating and drying the resulting solid product of said slurry.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,308

Art Unit: 1709

Group IV, claim(s) 4, 13, 16, and 19, drawn to a process of producing the synthetic magnesium silicate composition of Group I by means of precipitation by combining an aqueous solution of a magnesium salt with an alkaline solution of one or more sodium compounds in the presence of dissolved silicon-delivering material, then heating and pressure treating said solution to cause crystal growth, and finally separating and drying the resultant solid product.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature shared by all four inventions is the synthetic magnesium aluminate composition disclosed in claim 1. In order for the four inventions to be taken together as a single general invention concept, the shared technical feature must be a special technical feature, that is, it must be novel over the prior art. In the instant case, the synthetic magnesium silicate composition as claimed is not novel over the synthetic magnesium silicate composition taught by Bauer et al (US6274111).

Bauer teaches a process for producing a synthetic magnesium silicate composition (see Background of the Invention, column 1, lines 7-15). As a result of the processing conditions taught by Bauer, dissolved sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄) can remain in solution with the synthesized silicate mineral (see Description of the Preferred Embodiment, column 4, lines 50-58). Bauer also states that in applications such as detergent use, the synthesized mineral can be used without further purification, i.e.,

Application/Control Number: 10/519,308

Art Unit: 1709

without removal of residual sodium sulfate from the solid synthesized mineral. In the instant application, which also teaches use of the composition in detergents, it is disclosed that the synthetic magnesium silicate composition can have zero weight percent Na₂CO₃, zero weight percent H₂O, and as little as 0.3 weight percent Na₂SO₄. It is clear that, because of residual impurities, the synthetic magnesium silicate composition taught by Bauer can contain greater than 0.3 weight percent Na₂SO₄. Therefore, Bauer teaches a composition that reads on the claim composition taught by the instant application. Groups I, II, III, and IV therefore do not share a special technical features, and cannot be considered as a unified set of inventions.

Page 4

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

4. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1709

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Rejoining practice

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of

35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah S. Wiese whose telephone number is 571-270-3596. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on 571-272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1709

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NSW

VICKIE Y. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER